

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/647,801	08/25/2003		Dennis C. Liotta	18085.105233CON1 (EMU 201	2351	•
20786	7590	05/17/2006		EXAMI	NER	
KING & SPA				MAIER, LEIGH C		
ATLANTA, GA 30309				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	1
				1623		

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/647,801	LIOTTA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Leigh C. Maier	1623					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed  the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 F	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 27 February 2006						
· <u> </u>	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	•						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,10,12-16,18-22 and 29-85</u> is/ard	e pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 72-85</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,10,12-16,18-22 and 29-71</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s)	_						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
Notice of Drattsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					

### DETAILED ACTION

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 27, 2006 has been entered.

Claims 1 and 66-71 are amended. Claims 72-85 are newly added. Claims 1, 3-5, 10, 12-16, 18-22, and 29-85 are pending. Any rejection or objection not expressly repeated has been withdrawn. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 – 1st paragraph

Claims 1, 3, 5, 10, 12-16, 18-22, and 29-71 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as set forth in the previous Office action.

Applicant's arguments filed February 27, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant discusses at length the difficulties in the use of sphingolipids, per se, and how they are improved by preparing them in the form of a prodrug by the covalent attachment of and R<sup>2</sup> substituent. While this may be a beneficial contribution to the art, it does not address the concern of the original enablement (scope) rejection. That is, the majority of the compounds

claimed do not appear to have an adequate lipid portion that would make them actual sphingolipid "analogs" that would be expected to have the same activity as actual sphingolipids.

Applicant further contends that the specification provides biological activity of an exemplary prodrug. The examiner agrees that this is disclosed. However, it does have a substantial lipid portion as appears to be required by compounds having the recited activity. It is further noted that this exemplary compound is not encompassed by the formula of claim 1.

The specification suggests that the antiproliferative activity stems from the activity of the compounds as protein kinase c (PKC) inhibitors. The examiner agrees that the inhibition of PKC is correlated with treatment of diseases comprising abnormal cell proliferation, and PKC inhibitors are known and used in the art. Bell et al (US 4,937,232) teaches the inhibition of PKC with long-chain bases. See abstract and reference claims, for example. These are not the only type of inhibitor known. Grunicke et al (US 5,770,593) teaches the use of a variety of inhibitors. However, they all fall into the categories of polycyclics and lipids. See examples and col 2.

Finally, Applicant contends that by issuing the parent in the instant case, "the Patent Office has already found that the application is enabled for the compounds recited in the pending method claims." The examiner agrees that they are all generally enabled for some credible utility. However, this utility does not have to be the one stated by Applicant. As noted before, some of the compounds apparently not enabled for the instant method would be perfectly enabled as intermediates for compounds that are enabled for this method. Furthermore, (oligo)saccharide derivatives are well known for anti-adhesive properties. The instant compounds would be useful in preparing multivalent oligosaccharide compounds, such as those taught by Simon et al (US 5,736,533). Finally, the fact the Applicant disclosed the compounds as

Art Unit: 1623

being antiproliferatives, does not mean that this is the only credible activity that they might possess. Akimoto (of record) teaches compounds similar to those thought to be enabled having immunostimulant effects.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Allowable subject matter is as set forth in the previous Office action. Newly added claims 72-85, depending from allowed claim 4, are also allowed.

## Examiner's hours, phone & fax numbers

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leigh Maier whose telephone number is (571) 272-0656. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday, Wednesday, and Friday 7:00 to 3:30 (ET).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Anna Jiang (571) 272-0627, may be contacted. The fax number for Group 1600, Art Unit 1623 is (571) 273-8300.

Visit the U.S. PTO's site on the World Wide Web at http://www.uspto.gov. This site contains lots of valuable information including the latest PTO fees, downloadable forms, basic search capabilities and much more. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished application is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a> Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197.

Leigh C. Maier Primary Examiner

May 12, 2006